Case 2:22-mj-00097-CKD Document 11 Filed 06/29/22 Page 1 of 4

1	TASHA PARIS CHALFANT (SBN 207055) THE LAW OFFICE OF TASHA PARIS CHALFANT				
2	5701 Lonetree Blvd., Suite 312 Rocklin, California 95765				
3	Tel. (916) 444-6100				
4	Fax (916) 930-6093				
4	E-mail: tashachalfant@gmail.com				
5	Attorney for Defendant JORDAN T. PIPER				
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE				
7 8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:22-MJ-00097 CKD			
LO L1	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER			
L2	v.	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER			
L3	JORDAN T. PIPER,				
L4	Defendant.				
L5))				
L6)				
L7	STIPUI	LATION			
L8 L9	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record Assistant United				
20	States Attorney ROGER YANG, and the Defendant, JORDAN T. PIPER, by and through his				
21	counsel of record TASHA PARIS CHALFANT, hereby stipulate and request that the Court make				
22	the following findings and Order as follows:				
23	1. By previous order, this matter was set for preliminary hearing on July 7, 2022.				
24	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the preliminary hearing				
25	until September 7, 2022, and to exclude time between July 7, 2022, and September 7, 2022, under				
27	Local Code T4. The defendant consents to this extension of time, and requests that the Court find				
28	STIPULATION AND RDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING				
	AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME				

good cause to extend time for the preliminary hearing under Rule 5.1(d). Plaintiff does not oppose this request.

- 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
- a. The government has represented that the discovery will be subject to a protective order and produced in the near future. This should include investigative reports in electronic form, photographs and video files. All of this discovery will be either produced directly to counsel, and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b. Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, develop the case, conduct investigation, consult with her client, discuss potential resolution, and to explain the consequences and guidelines.
- c. In addition to the public health concerns cited by General Order 611 and presented by the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly apt in this case because counsel or other relevant individuals have been encouraged to telework, minimize personal contact to the greatest extent possible and not conduct visits at the county jails. It will be difficult to avoid personal contact should the hearing proceed.
- d. Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e. The government does not object to the continuance.
- f. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

STIPULATION AND RDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING

1	g. For the purpose of comp	uting time	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161		
2	et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of July 7, 2022, to September 7, 2022				
3	inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]				
4	because it results from a continuance g	ranted by tl	ne Court at defendant's request on the basis of		
5	the Court's finding that the ends of justi	ce served b	y taking such action outweigh the best interes		
6 7	of the public and the defendant in a spec	edy trial.			
8	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other				
9	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the				
LO	period within which a trial must commence.				
L1	All counsel has reviewed this proposed order and authorized Tasha Chalfant to sign it of				
L2	their behalf.	1	<u> </u>		
L3 L4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.				
L5	Dated: June 28, 2022	by:	/s/Tasha Chalfant for		
L6	Dated. June 26, 2022	by.	ROGER YANG Assistant U.S. Attorney		
L7			Attorney for Plaintiff		
L8	Dated: June 28, 2022	by:	/s/Tasha Chalfant		
L9			TASHA CHALFANT Attorney for Defendant		
20			JORDAN T. PIPER		
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	STIDLIL VALUE VALUE OF THE STATE OF THE STAT	OR CONTINI	JANCE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING		
	STIL OLATION AND RDER F	ON CONTINU	TANCE OF TREE IMITARY HEARING		

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME

ORDER

The Court, having received, read, and considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the stipulation of the parties in its entirety as its order. Based on the stipulation of the parties and the recitation of facts contained therein, the Court finds that the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court finds that the ends of justice to be served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

The Court orders that the time from the date of the parties' stipulation, July 7, 2022, to and including September 7, 2022, status conference hearing date shall be excluded from computation of time within which the trial of this case must be commenced under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B) (iv), and Local Code T4 (reasonable time for defense counsel to prepare). It is further ordered that the presently set July 7, 2022, preliminary hearing shall be **CONTINUED** to **September 7, 2022, at 2:00 p.m**.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.

Dated: June 29, 2022

DEBORAH BARNES

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

STIPULATION AND RDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF PRELIMINARY HEARING

AND FOR EXCLUSION OF TIME